

# **Rights, relationships and resilience: a framework for decision-makers to help children in care maintain contact with their families during COVID-19 pandemic**

# **(V.2 – Published 22 January 2021)**

**Purpose**

1. This framework has been reviewed and updated in the context of the urgent and additional public health measures introduced to control the rising transmission of the COVID-19 virus in January 2021. The purpose of the framework is unchanged - to help practitioners and decisions makers to assess and enable each child’s human rights to privacy and family life[[1]](#footnote-1); and to maintain each child’s important family and social relationships.
2. A structure of national public health restrictions was implemented on 2/11/20. It sets out expectations within five tiers of public health protection. These ‘tiers’ define what can and cannot be done in the areas in which individuals live, work and travel. Each area of Scotland is assigned to a COVID protection level. Local status information is available at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-protection-levels/>
3. Where **we are now**: On 4/1/21 the First Minister made [an announcement to Parliament](https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-update-first-ministers-statement-monday-4-january-2021/) in response to rising infection levels caused by a variant of the COVID-19 virus. Added restrictions in Level 4 areas came into effect on 5/1/21. While the Level 4 restrictions are in force,it is only permissible for individuals to leave home (or garden) for an essential purpose [Coronavirus (Covid-19): Stay at Home guidance](https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-stay-at-home-guidance/) provides further detail.
4. However, face-to-face family contact between looked after infants, children, young people and their families, can still proceed where it is considered essential to the child’s wellbeing, and as long as it is planned in accordance with the principles published in [Scottish Government Guidance on family contact for looked after children (Scottish Government 15/1/21).](https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-looked-after-children-and-young-people---family-contact/) This includes guidance in relation to testing and transport issues. For children in residential settings, the [Coronavirus (COVID-19): residential childcare](https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-residential-childcare/) **provides further, specific information for consideration (Scottish Government 15/1/21**).
5. Wherever the child is living, there must be a holistic assessment of the child’s need for face-to-face contact, and the specific purpose of meeting. A risk management plan should take in to account the factors explored in this framework, below. In every situation, prevention of transmission is a shared responsibility by all professionals, parents and carers involved in planning and enabling the face-to-face arrangements. Prevention depends on everyone involved in the plan following all relevant infection control advice, all of the time. There is an unavoidable need to review - and keep under review - the balance of issues as set out below in each situation in order to determine and plan how relationships will be sustained for each child.
6. There are ethical and practical challenges in maintaining connections between people under these restrictions. This framework is designed to help find solutions which enable every child to keep connected with their families and the people that are most important in their lives, either face-to-face when essential or in other ways to minimise the risk to the child and others involved from being infected by, and spreading, Covid-19[[2]](#footnote-2).
7. The framework consists of principles and key steps for consideration by practitioners working with children and families to support arrangements, implement statutory orders, conduct assessments and form recommendations about contact; and to inform decision-makers including children’s hearing panel members, Sheriffs, and relevant managers.
8. The framework encourages practitioners and decision-makers to apply a rights and wellbeing lens when making formal recommendations and decisions about how children’s contact and connections are affected by current restrictions. This involves thinking about the child’s safety, health, welfare and happiness. It means respecting the human rights of the child, their family members and carers; and fostering resilience by sustaining relationships that are positive and nurturing. .
9. This framework is supplementary to and may inform local guidance. It does not provide comprehensive guidance on how to assess a child’s holistic needs during the Covid-19 pandemic. It is recognised that the term ‘contact’ does not convey the meaning and importance of family relationships or the human right to family life and privacy. Where used in the framework it refers to actions required to implement formal and informal plans and legal conditions in the best interests of the child. ‘The Promise’ (Independent Care Review, 2020) describes ‘foundations’ for policy, systems and practice which underpin the principles and decision-making steps in this framework. In whatever way they express their experience, “*Children must be listened to and meaningfully and appropriately involved in decision-making about their care*”. For those children too young, or unable to express their preferences, adults acting on their behalf must do so on the basis of the child’s developmental care needs

**Principles**

1. Every child, situation and stage in planning requires individual consideration. Just as before the pandemic, any ‘contact arrangements’ should be compatible with securing the child’s safety, emotional security, stability, supporting their evolving identity and understanding. Practitioners must comply with the legal requirements to implement contact conditions attached to Compulsory Supervision Orders / Interim Compulsory Supervision Orders (CSO / ICSO), or other Court Orders.
2. In order to achieve these objectives, plans and decisions should be understood by all involved and informed by:
* physical safety, including implementation of current Health Protection Scotland guidance for the relevant setting;and medical advice relating to the specific situation.
* the child’s expressed views (where possible) and feelings; and physical and emotional needs, both short term and long term.
* statutory responsibilities and legal requirements imposed by children’s hearings and the courts.
* understanding of the child’s experience of trauma, developmental and communication needs.
* persistent effort to achieve collaborative planning. This should actively involve the child in the most appropriate way and consider the views and support needs of parents and carers and safeguard the health needs of all involved, including practitioners.
* regular review; with prompt response and adjustment as the child’s needs and circumstances, and government health guidance, evolve.

**A framework that sits within the National Practice Model**

1. A child’s experience of relationships shapes their experience of daily childhood and care. Assessment of the child’s need for relationships, and planning how best to support these, are therefore at the heart of every child’s assessment and plan. The National Practice Model already provides the core components for assessment of a child’s wellbeing needs. The *My World Triangle* provides a basis for ecological and developmental assessment of the child’s world. Decisions about ‘contact’ sit within this broader assessment. Relationship connections are integral to the realisation of every wellbeing indicator. The resilience matrix provides a tool for analysis of the child’s internal world and understanding of the skills and relationships that will assist growth through this particular phase of high stress and adversity.
2. The diagram below illustrates necessary areas for consideration and review. The diagram suggests a circular rather than linear process. Steps are then outlined in more detail in the table that follows.
3. The steps below should inform these connections for wellbeing; a shared analysis of risk and need; and promote shared assessment and planning and statutory decision making.

|  |
| --- |
| **Context**Every child’s circumstances are different. When making decisions the key questions about their situation that should be understood by all involved are: what restrictions are in place as a result of health protection guidance on infection control, shielding and physical distancing?* what is the legal foundation for the child being in care?
* are there any legal conditions or orders which set requirements for contact?
* how is the child’s voice and experience heard in shaping the plan?
* who are the important people in the child’s life?
* What is the current essential purpose for the child of maintaining face-to-face relationship with the other child or adult specified? How does this help to fulfil the aims of the child’s plan?
* What are possible alternatives to face-to-face contact that can be pursued to meet the child’s needs during and within the Covid-19 restrictions?
 |
| **The child’s world**The child’s needs, experience and their views must inform how his/her contact and connection with people significant to him/her will take place. An ecomap may be a helpful way to depict the range, nature and significance of relationships in the child’s world, from the child’s perspective. This can be developed with and by the child, and used to show the direct opportunities and indirect media through which connections can be maintained. The team around the child should aim to form a shared understanding of the child’s world, risks and strengths from the inside out.* What are this child’s core relationship needs? This includes physical and emotional safety; attuned reassurance; stability of home base and – as fits each child and situation – developing connection with existing and future loving relationships.
* What considerations affect the way we support these needs? This may include support for communication, arrangements adapted to a child’s stage of development or trauma \*, and adjustments to sustain cultural connection and identity
* What are this child’s wider relationship needs? This includes connection with friends and extended family; opportunities for play, exploration, activity, achievement, which will help a child build and internalise a positive experience of their relationships.

\* Appendix 1 illustrates developmental considerations to be explored for each child |
| **Collaboration** During Covid 19 restrictions it is even more critical that parents, carers and multi-agency professionals involved in recommending and supporting a child’s plan for face-to-face and other types of contact are involved and supported to work together and share learning. For example:* How are the child’s relationships beyond the home happening now? (both directly and indirectly? e.g. direct contact/ virtual contact/phone contact /online activities/written/exchange of photos, recordings).
* Can face-to-face arrangements be suitably, and temporarily, adapted to other communication options due to current health circumstances?
* If arrangements are supervised, why? What extra safety precautions are necessary to put in place, either face-to-face or virtually?
* Where and how does it happen?
* Who is involved? What resources are needed?
* What is working well?
* How are current arrangements promoting the child’s resilience?
* What are parents/carers/professionals concerned about? What are the complicating factors?
* Does anything need to change? (in order to ensure child’s and others safety and protection of life, whether immediately; step by step; and whether this requires a decision to be legally authorised?)

Collaborative recommendations on future plans:* How will the local authority ensure the child’s experience and views about contact and family relationships will be properly taken into account in all assessments recommendations and decision-making? What should happen and how? Is advocacy or legal advice for the child needed?
* How do the views of close family members (with whom child has legal rights to maintain relationships and connections) inform recommendations?
* What do parents, kinship carers, foster carers or residential staff think?
* What are the necessary contributions of other key professionals e.g. health professional, social worker, teacher, foster carer, specialist agencies including residential staff and the Scottish Prison Service?
* Are there any differences in views, and if so, how are these captured and addressed?
* What direct and indirect contact is necessary and deliverable safely? How has this been assessed?

If a statutory Review of the child’s case or a Children’s Hearing or a Court hearing is needed to authorise change to existing contact arrangements, then options should be explored together with child, parents and carers, before the Review or hearing. Recommendations to decision makers should be practical; and evidence-based; and they should take account of all relevant perspectives (including medical advice when appropriate). All cases where a local authority is recommending changes or reduction to contact arrangements require collaboration with families and other professionals to ensure safety and understanding, and consideration should be given to how this can best be achieved. For example, Family group decision making and ‘Signs of Safety’ meetings might be considered. Where face-to-face contact is essential and can be conducted safely, clear description should be offered to decision makers as to how this will be supported to ensure public health advice is followed for all involved. Where face-to-face contact is not safe or possible, clear rationales should be offered to decision makers as to why this is the case, and what other methods are being substituted.While it is important to remain alert to risk, not all relationships and connections need to be regulated. Nothing replaces a hug. Nevertheless, if there has to be a pause in direct contact there are many ways to keep in touch with a child and help them to feel that they are held in mind and to share their feelings and moments of their daily lives with those who are most important to them. How can a child’s spontaneity and self-expression be safely supported?  |
| **Safety parameters**How does guidance on reducing risk of the spread of infection shape or determine recommendations and planning? For example, what is the need for physical distancing or self-isolation by child or others in relation to symptomatic persons or persons who are shielding, whether formally or informally?What resources are needed and what mitigating steps need to be taken, by whom, in line with health guidance at this stage of the pandemic (e.g. to do with PPE, standard infection control, transport, location, adaptation and ventilation of meeting spaces; and other matters relating to safe and successful conduct of direct contact) to facilitate the child’s relationship needs within health protection parameters? (Scottish Government Guidance ‘Coronavirus (COVID-19): ‘Social Work & Social Care - safe and ethical practice during the pandemic’ outlines essential considerations for staff and links to appropriate health guidance.)What other safety considerations inform recommendations about support for child’s relationships? This may include known online or risks in virtual/indirect contact.Factors to think about in seeking to implement a direct contact condition/arrangement which is part of the child’s plan:* Does the child, their carer, any other child or adult member of the carer’s household/children’s house, their parent or any other member of the parent’s household have an underlying health condition that would increase risk from Covid-19 infection?
* If yes to any of the above, what is the medical advice on the risk that direct contact may create to the person/s with the underlying condition? Are foster carers or adopters able to liaise directly with service’s medical advisor for guidance and advice? Are there ways to allow contact to proceed whilst protecting the child/carer from the medically identified increased risks?
* Is the parent/relative able and willing to observe social distance, within any contact arrangement and also within their day to day interactions with other people? Are there any factors that will make it hard for the parent to maintain physical distance (e.g. substance misuse, mental health, learning disability, domestic abuse)?
* How are the views of child/ parent/relative sought and recorded in the child’s record?
* If it is not possible to maintain physical distance within the contact arrangements how can the local authority provide a safe environment in which to do this? Is Personal Protective Equipment available both for workers or carers supervising the contact and the parent or family member? If we need to do this, how will the parent be supported to comply with the arrangements?
* What venue is proposed for contact and are appropriate hygiene arrangements in place? Are there toilet facilities available which can be safely used by children, or by carers/ staff facilitating transport to contact?
* What transport will be needed to the contact? Is transport essential to reach a contact location? Who will do it and what are the risks for the carer/staff member/others in their household? How many people are involved and how far is the journey? Small enclosed spaces, lack of ventilation and length of time in such an environment can increase risk of Covid19 transmission.
* What are the views of staff and carers who will physically facilitate direct contact? If carers are asked to facilitate contact what preparation, guidance and support will be available to ensure safe practice? Health and financial risks for carers and their families must be considered.
* Taking all of the above into account, what is the assessment of the level of risk attached to proposed face-to-face contact?
* Does the risk of Covid19 transmission to the child or others outweigh the child’s rights to family life and direct contact and is it necessary and proportionate to seek a statutory review of existing arrangements? What steps have been taken to mitigate any risks of transmission, in line with the Strategic Framework and the most current Public Health Guidance on the NHS inform website?

During the pandemic decisions about implementation of face-to-face contact should involve regular Team Around the Child meetings with the child, parents and, where appropriate, other important family members and consultation between the child’s lead professional and their line manager as appropriate If in doubt about any of the above, an opinion should be sought from a medical practitioner about the individualised risks of exposure to infection and requirements to minimise risk to the child and any other people involved in proposed contact arrangements – including, other children in a children’s house or household. This should be done on a case-by-case basis, taking account of each child’s rights and needs; and may have to be considered by a court or children’s hearing in any review of the statutory arrangements. |
| **Decision making** Can decisions on changes or plans be made, now, by participants in (3) above?Line management agreement must be sought where a lead professional is recommending that any aspect of a new child’s plan needs to be changed regarding contact .This should be sought before any changes are made or Review or Children’s Hearing takes place. If changes to a child’s plan, or variation to a Compulsory Supervision Order or a new order is needed, apply protocols for seeking advice from reviewing officers and making notification to the Reporter. Consider how preparation and follow up will occur to ensure shared understanding about decisions. An agreed contact plan should specify who will do what, why and when; including how and when plans will be reviewed. The plan should specify what help, resource, support is needed to make this happen before during and after the contact for the child, and the adults involved (e.g. birth or adoptive parents, foster carers, brothers and sisters living in different households).  |
| **Action and review of plan**If there is unavoidable delay until formal decisions can be made, what immediate action is needed to ensure the child’s safety and wellbeing? At what level (e.g. Service Manager) will approval be required for the non-implementation of a condition of contact for COVID related reasons?When decisions have been made to stop direct contact, what support is needed, to enable different forms of virtual contact; and to help a child/parent/carer adjust to necessary restrictions placed on contact? If a Hearing or Court decision differs substantially from a recommendation developed with the child and family, what work needs to be done to ensure shared understanding and due implementation of the order?Action plan should be prepared which – *should this be the child’s plan – if it’s include as below?* should state when the new contact arrangements will be reconsidered (at the end of each contact/weekly/monthly) who will undertake this; and describe the rights, safety and wellbeing factors to be taken into account.A written record of this should be included in the child’s plan and communicated to all involved, including the child and parent.Review should ensure the child’s plan is being implemented as intended, taking account of feedback from child, parents and carers.How will complaints and disagreements in this situation be resolved? All court and children’s hearings orders must be implemented unless there is evidence of risk of significant harm to the child or another person. In these exceptional situations formal notification in writing must be made to the Principal Reporter without delay and a review of the CSO/ICSO should be sought immediately.  |

*Appendices on this web page provide updated (21/1/21) references for research, resources and online safety; and developmental considerations in planning support for family contact and relationship.*

1. In terms of Article 8 or the ECHR and the UNCRC, in particular Articles 16 and 20 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Where there is a condition of contact attached to a CSO/ICSO in respect of the child then the implementation of that condition remains a legal requirement. CHS and SCRA have issued a joint statement on how contact directions should be managed during the coronavirus emergency, endorsed by Social Work Scotland which can be viewed [here](https://www.chip-partnership.co.uk/2020/03/27/coronavirus-joint-statement/). (update due 22/1/21) [↑](#footnote-ref-2)